

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

John R. Murren · Henry J. Durivage
Antonio C. Buzaid · Michael Reiss · Stuart D. Flynn
Darryl Carter · William N. Hait

Trifluoperazine as a modulator of multidrug resistance in refractory breast cancer

Received: 12 January 1995/Accepted: 11 August 1995

Abstract Overexpression of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) has been implicated as the mechanism of multidrug resistance (MDR) in a number of human cancers, including carcinoma of the breast. We conducted a clinical trial to determine whether the P-gp inhibitor, trifluoperazine, could sensitize patients with refractory breast cancer to vinblastine chemotherapy. Adult patients with histologically confirmed, refractory, advanced breast cancer were treated with vinblastine at a dose of 1.7 mg/m² per day by continuous infusion for five consecutive days. Patients who did not respond after two cycles were subsequently treated with vinblastine plus trifluoperazine at a dose of 8 mg twice daily during the five days of chemotherapy. In patients from whom tumor samples were available, the expression of P-gp was determined by immunocytochemistry. Of 35 patients enrolled, 30 were evaluable, 2 of whom (7%) achieved a partial response to vinblastine alone. Among the 16 patients treated with vinblastine plus

trifluoperazine there was one response (6%) which lasted 16 weeks. Tumor samples were available from 16 patients, and 14 (87%) were immunoreactive for P-gp. P-gp expression was detected both in the patient who responded to vinblastine plus trifluoperazine and in one of the two patients who responded to vinblastine alone. Continuous-infusion vinblastine demonstrated limited activity in this study. Furthermore, trifluoperazine did not effectively reverse established resistance to vinblastine. This failure may be related the presence of multiple mechanisms of drug resistance in this heavily pretreated population, or because ineffective concentrations of the modulator were achieved in vivo. Future studies should evaluate more effective modulators, and attempt to reverse MDR earlier in the course of treatment, before other forms of resistance can develop.

Key words Breast cancer · Multidrug resistance · Trifluoperazine · Vinblastine

This work was supported in part by grants from the Public Health Service, National Cancer Institute (CA 16359–20, CA 08341–26), and the Patrick and Catherine Weldon Donaghue Medical Research Foundation

Dr. Murren is a recipient of an American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Investigator Career Development Award.

J. R. Murren (✉) · M. Reiss · S.D. Flynn · D. Carter
Yale Cancer Center, Yale University School of Medicine,
New Haven, CT 06520, USA

H.J. Durivage
Theradex Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA

A.C. Buzaid
M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, University of Texas, Houston,
TX 77030, USA

W.N. Hait
Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Robert Wood Johnson
School of Medicine, Piscataway, NJ, USA

Introduction

Multidrug resistance (MDR) occurs when cancer cells display cross-resistance to a broad spectrum of structurally unrelated chemotherapeutic drugs. The most common mechanism of MDR is the overexpression of P-glycoprotein (P-gp), a membrane-associated drug efflux pump. A broad range of bulky, hydrophobic compounds competitively inhibit P-gp and reverse MDR both in cell culture and in animal models [8]. For example, nontoxic doses of trifluoperazine can reduce in vitro resistance to vinblastine five- to tenfold and improve survival in mice bearing MDR tumors [9, 41].

The clinical evaluation of inhibitors of P-gp is being actively pursued [1–5, 19, 24, 25, 29, 35–37, 40, 43–46]. For example, Miller et al. treated 36 patients with resistant tumors with the combination of doxorubicin

and trifluoperazine [24]. Seven responses (19%) were observed. Notably, all the responders had tumors previously sensitive to doxorubicin alone. Among the 15 patients who had never responded to doxorubicin, there were no responses to the combination containing trifluoperazine. One possible explanation of this finding is that in selected tumors which are initially sensitive to chemotherapy, resistance develops due to the overexpression of P-gp, which can be overcome by adding competitive P-gp inhibitors to the treatment regimen.

Therefore, successful clinical modulation of drug resistance by P-gp inhibitors may require the selection of a patient population with tumors that are usually initially sensitive to chemotherapy but frequently develop resistance following treatment. One such population are patients with advanced breast cancer, a disease in which the majority of patients initially respond to chemotherapy but very few are cured. Accordingly, we conducted a study of continuous infusion vinblastine in patients with refractory breast cancer to determine if resistance could be overcome by the addition of the P-gp inhibitor trifluoperazine.

Methods

Patients with histologically confirmed, measurable breast cancer resistant to anthracyclines were eligible for this study. Anthracycline resistance was defined as progression during treatment for advanced disease, or relapse within 12 months of adjuvant therapy with a chemotherapy regimen containing an anthracycline or an anthracene. Patients were required to be at least 18 years of age, have an ECOG performance status ≤ 2 , and adequate marrow, hepatic, and renal function (WBC $\geq 3500/\mu\text{l}$, platelets $\geq 100000/\mu\text{l}$, bilirubin $\leq 2.5 \text{ mg/dl}$, albumin $> 3 \text{ mg/dl}$ and creatinine $< 2.5 \text{ mg/dl}$). Patients with brain metastases were eligible provided the central nervous system disease was stable and they had other metastases which could be measured. Patients who had previously received vinblastine by continuous infusion, had a serious intercurrent medical or psychiatric illness, who were pregnant, or who required concurrent treatment with an antipsychotic drug were excluded.

Prior to beginning treatment, a complete history was obtained from each patient and each received a physical examination which included an assessment of mental status. Laboratory studies included a complete blood count with differential, serum chemistries (albumin, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase), a chest X-radiograph, CT scans of the chest and abdomen, and a bone scan. Patients with skin or subcutaneous metastases readily accessible to biopsy were asked to provide tumor tissue for analysis of MDR expression, but consent for this biopsy was not required for participation in the study.

A permanent central venous access device was placed before treatment. Therapy was generally delivered in the outpatient clinic by an infusion pump. During the first cycle of chemotherapy, patients received vinblastine alone at a dose of 1.7 mg/m^2 per day by continuous infusion for five consecutive days. Chemotherapy was repeated every 21 days, provided the blood counts were adequate and there were no serious nonhematologic toxicities. If these conditions were not met, treatment was delayed for at least 1 week to allow for recovery.

The dose of vinblastine was modified on subsequent chemotherapy cycles according to nadir blood counts. In patients experiencing

serious hematologic toxicity (WBC $< 1000/\mu\text{l}$, platelets $< 50000/\mu\text{l}$), the dose of vinblastine was reduced by 20%. Dose escalations by 20% were permitted in patients who had no serious hematologic toxicity during the previous chemotherapy cycle.

The response to vinblastine alone was evaluated after two cycles of therapy. For patients who were not responding to treatment, trifluoperazine was added at a dose of 8 mg twice daily for 5 days during subsequent cycles of vinblastine chemotherapy. If neurocortical or neurocerebellar side effects developed which were deemed by the patient to be intolerable, treatment was held until the toxicity resolved and the dose of trifluoperazine on subsequent cycles was reduced by 25%.

Response was defined according to ECOG criteria and measured from the time of response to the time of disease progression [28]. Toxicity was defined according to NCI Common Toxicity criteria. The expression of P-gp was determined on representative paraffin-embedded tumor samples by immunocytochemistry performed according to the avidin-biotin-peroxidase method of Hsu et al. [17], with modifications to optimize the assay for the antibodies used [7, 12, 33, 38]. Four antibodies directed against different epitopes of P-gp were used, including MRK-16 (generous gift from Dr. T. Tsuruo, University of Tokyo), JSB-1 (generous gift from Dr. J. Scheper, Free University, Amsterdam), UIC-2 (generous gift of Dr. I. Roninson, University of Illinois), and MDR (Oncogene Science, Manhasset, N.Y.). Overexpression of P-gp was defined as membrane staining above background with at least two different antibodies. Paraffin-embedded sections of normal kidney were stained along with the patient samples. Renal tubules express P-gp and were used as a positive control while the glomeruli, which do not express P-gp, served as a negative control to assess background staining. No attempt was made to quantify the intensity of staining.

This protocol and its subsequent revisions were approved by the Yale University Human Investigation Committee. Informed written consent was obtained from all patients. Patients were enrolled and treated at the Yale-New Haven Hospital and its affiliated hospitals. All data were collected and tabulated by the Clinical Research Office of the Yale Comprehensive Cancer Center.

Results

Of 35 patients enrolled into this study, 4 were ineligible, 1 because of a prior diagnosis of a second malignancy within the previous 3 years, 1 because she had not received prior anthracycline chemotherapy, and 2 because of either inadequate documentation of pretreatment albumin or an inappropriately low albumin.

The patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. All of the patients were women, the median age was 56 years, and the majority had an excellent performance status. The most common sites of metastatic disease were the soft tissue and bone, although 15 patients had liver involvement and 7 had brain metastases. All patients had received extensive prior treatment: 29 (94%) had received ≥ 6 prior cycles of chemotherapy, 18 (58%) had received prior radiation therapy, and 16 (52%) had been treated with hormones. The median number of prior chemotherapy regimens was 2 (range 1–6), including two patients who had undergone an autologous bone marrow transplantation. Resistance to anthracycline-containing chemotherapy was documented in all of the patients, and 9 (29%) had received other naturally derived chemotherapy drugs.

Table 1 Patient characteristics

	<i>n</i> (%)
Eligible	31
Evaluable	30
Age (years)	56 (29–72)
Performance status	
0	16 (52)
1	11 (35)
2	4 (13)
Sites of disease	
Bone	19 (61)
Lung	10 (32)
Liver	15 (48)
Soft tissue	21 (68)
Brain	7 (23)
Prior therapy	
Autotransplant	2 (6)
Radiotherapy	18 (58)
Hormonal	16 (52)

Table 2 Responses to treatment to treatment with continuous infusion vinblastine alone, or vinblastine plus trifluoperazine. (*CI* confidence interval, *Eval pts* evaluable patients; *CR* complete response, *PR* partial response)

		Vinblastine			Vinblastine plus trifluoperazine		
		<i>n</i>	%	95%CI	<i>n</i>	%	95%CI
Eval pts	30				16		
CR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PR	2	7	0–16		1	6	0–18

One of the 31 eligible patients discontinued treatment during the first cycle of vinblastine because of the rapid development of disease-related hepatic insufficiency. Therefore, there were 30 patients who received at least one complete cycle of chemotherapy and were included in the analysis for response and toxicity (Tables 2 and 3). There were no significant differences in response rate if the three ineligible patients who were treated were included.

There were two patients (7%) who achieved a partial response (PR) to continuous infusion vinblastine alone (95% confidence interval 0–16%). The duration of these responses were 15 and 44 weeks. Neither of these patients had liver or CNS involvement, and neither was retreated with the combination of vinblastine plus trifluoperazine at the time of disease progression.

The vinblastine plus trifluoperazine combination was used to treat 16 patients (Table 2). The most common reasons for patients not crossing over to the combination were patient refusal or inadequate performance status secondary to disease progression. One patient among these 16 patients (6%), who had stable disease following two cycles of vinblastine alone,

Table 3 Maximum toxicity experienced during any chemotherapy cycle

Toxicity	ECOG grade				
	0	1	2	3	4
WBC	2	2	5	10	11
PMN	4	2	1	4	19
Platelet	23	4	1	1	1
Anemia	5	7	11	7	0
Nausea	21	5	1	3	0
Stomatitis	22	4	2	1	1
Diarrhea	27	2	0	1	0
Constipation	23	2	1	4	0
CNS	19	2	7	2	0
Flu-like symptoms	17	6	5	2	0

achieved a PR in lung and liver lesions lasting 4 months when treated with the combination (95% confidence interval 0–18%). A second patient had complete clearing of a 3 × 2.5-cm subcutaneous metastasis which lasted > 4 weeks, but this patient was lost to follow-up before a reevaluation of her liver disease was obtained to confirm systemic response. The median survival for all patients on the study was 6.5 months, with a 1- and 2-year survival of 23% and 3%, respectively.

Toxicity

Hematologic side effects associated with continuous infusion vinblastine were significant in this heavily pretreated population (Table 3). Myelotoxicity consisted of serious neutropenia (23), anemia (7), and thrombocytopenia (2). One patient died of sepsis within 4 weeks of her fourth cycle of chemotherapy, but was not neutropenic when this complication developed. In the group of 16 patients who crossed over to vinblastine plus trifluoperazine, there were two hospitalizations for neutropenic fever when vinblastine was administered alone, and two hospitalizations when trifluoperazine was added to this chemotherapy. Furthermore, comparison of the first cycle of treatment following the addition of trifluoperazine to the previous cycle in which only vinblastine was given demonstrated no significant difference in nadir white cell, neutrophil, or platelet counts ($P \geq 0.2$, Student's *t*-test). Therefore, it did not appear that this modulator significantly increased the hematologic toxicity of vinblastine.

Other significant side effects included nausea, which limited oral intake and was associated with multiple episodes of vomiting in three patients, constipation (four patients), and muscle and joint pains (two patients). Severe stomatitis developed in two patients and one required enteral nutritional support. Both episodes of severe stomatitis occurred in patients receiving the combination of vinblastine and trifluoperazine. The side effects directly related to trifluoperazine were

primarily limited to CNS toxicity, consisting of agitation or anxiety (eight patients) and somnolence or depression in four patients.

MDR1 gene expression

Tumor samples appropriate for analysis were obtained from 16 patients. Immunoreactivity for P-gp was detectable in 14 of these patients (87%). In one patient samples were available from both the breast primary and a lung metastasis. The primary tumor was immunoreactive, while no P-gp was detected in the metastasis. Tissue was available from one of the two responders to vinblastine alone, and from the patient who developed skin clearing after trifluoperazine was added to the chemotherapy regimen. In both of these samples P-gp was detectable.

Discussion

The significance of P-gp as a mechanism of clinical drug resistance remains uncertain. Both retrospective and prospective studies, however, have found that in certain tumor histologies P-gp expression correlates with sensitivity to chemotherapy, response duration, and overall survival. Tumor histologies in which P-gp is an important prognostic feature include acute leukemias, cancers of the breast and ovary, pediatric tumors, and small-cell lung carcinoma [6, 16, 23, 27, 30, 42]. These tumors all tend to be sensitive to chemotherapy initially, but usually recur with resistant disease. The prevalence of P-gp overexpression in previously untreated breast cancer ranges from < 10% to 85% depending on the method of detection used and the definition of a positive result. Using slot blot analysis, one of the largest series identified increased *mdr1* message in 7/55 (13%) previously untreated patients [11]. By various methods and using an immunohistochemical definition of requiring staining in at least 5% of cells, studies which have examined mixed groups of breast cancer patients (previously untreated and treated) have shown a prevalence of MDR of 20% (28/141) in untreated patients and 54% (48/89) in treated patients [11, 20, 31, 32, 34]. Overexpression of P-gp, therefore, may be the dominant mechanism in acquired drug resistance in breast cancer, and this may be an optimal setting to evaluate inhibitors of P-gp.

The prognosis for patients with doxorubicin-resistant breast cancer is poor. Although the reported activity of "salvage" chemotherapy is variable (response rate 0–57%), response duration tends to be brief, and few patients survive beyond 1 year [15]. In this setting, vinblastine, given as a continuous infusion, has been evaluated by a number of investigators with conflicting results. Although two series found no activity in a total of 32 patients treated [18, 39], a much larger study

reported by Fraschini et al. showed an overall response rate of 37%, including 5 complete responders, in 106 women with breast cancer refractory to doxorubicin [10]. Based on these promising results, preclinical data showing that trifluoperazine is more efficient at modulating resistance to vinca alkaloids than it is to other cytotoxins, and evidence that prolonged exposure to chemotherapy may be more effective against MDR tumors [21], we selected a 5-day infusion of vinblastine to treat patients on this study. Despite significant marrow toxicity, we were not able to confirm significant antitumor activity for this schedule of single-agent vinblastine.

The dose of trifluoperazine chosen in this trial was based on our prior experience using this drug as a modulator of chemotherapy [13, 14]. In an earlier phase I study, we determined that dose-limiting CNS and neuromuscular toxicity occurred when ≥ 9 mg trifluoperazine twice daily was administered in the outpatient setting [13]. In contrast, Miller et al. reported that higher doses of trifluoperazine could safely be given to hospitalized patients when anticholinergics were used to treat extrapyramidal side effects [24]. However, in the study by Miller et al., clinical responses were observed at all tested dose levels, and even at the highest doses serum concentrations of trifluoperazine were much lower than the concentrations required to modulate MDR in vitro. Since trifluoperazine and most other inhibitors have a large apparent volume of distribution, serum concentrations may not adequately reflect the drug concentration in tumor cells. For example, Trump et al. were able to obtain simultaneous plasma and tumor samples from two patients receiving treatment with tamoxifen as a P-gp inhibitor in combination with vinblastine. In these two tumor samples, the concentrations of tamoxifen and *N*-desmethyl-tamoxifen were three- and eight fold greater than in the corresponding plasma [40]. A higher concentration of the modulator in tissue may explain the lack of correlation between the achievable plasma concentrations of P-gp inhibitors and the likelihood of response. Accordingly, we elected to use a tolerable, fixed dose of trifluoperazine and did not monitor plasma concentrations.

In the clinical studies of drug resistance modulation reported to date, the response rates have ranged from 7% to as high as 71% [1–5, 19, 24, 25, 29, 35–37, 40, 43–46]. In some of these studies, however, cytotoxic drugs were used in continuous infusion schedules in patients who had previously received chemotherapy only by bolus administration. This alteration in drug schedule may itself account for the activity observed [22, 44]. In order to avoid this potential confounding variable, patients in our study were treated with vinblastine alone prior to receiving the same schedule of vinblastine plus trifluoperazine. In retrospect, this design may also be flawed. Almost half of the patients in our study did not receive treatment with the P-gp inhibitor, generally because of disease progression or

patient refusal. This problem has been observed in other studies and suggests that this is an inefficient design for initial trials of new modulating agents [26, 43, 46]. In addition, the inclusion of a modulator can alter the pharmacokinetics of the chemotherapy (1, 3, 22). Thus, responses attained when the modulator is added could be solely due to an increase in the dose intensity of the chemotherapy. Furthermore, exposure to additional chemotherapy prior to testing a P-gp inhibitor may induce additional non-P-gp mechanisms of resistance. It is therefore not surprising that studies using this design have been inconclusive.

A randomized trial, therefore, may be the best approach for evaluating the activity of a modulator. In breast cancer, two randomized studies have shown conflicting results. Wishart et al. found no advantage when orally administered quinidine was added to epirubicin in patients receiving their first chemotherapy regimen for advanced disease [45]. In contrast, preliminary results of another study showed a benefit when verapamil was added to the chemotherapy regimen [2]. Belpomme et al. treated 99 patients with anthracycline-resistant disease with a chemotherapy regimen consisting of bolus vinblastine 2 mg/m² on days 1 and 10, and 5-fluorouracil, 400 mg/m² per day given as a continuous infusion on days 1–10. Patients randomized to receive verapamil took 120 mg orally twice daily throughout the 28 day treatment cycle. The response rate (27% vs 11%) and survival (11.2 vs 6.6 months) was significantly better for the group receiving verapamil ($P = 0.04$). These discrepant results may be related to the patients included in these studies or the combination of the modulator-cytotoxin chosen. A more precise evaluation of the clinical significance of MDR modulation awaits the development of better tools for inhibiting P-gp, and assays for assessing its function.

As new, more potent inhibitors of P-gp are identified, future clinical trials should first focus on determining the maximum tolerated dose and the effect of the inhibitor on the pharmacokinetics of the cytotoxic drugs. Randomized studies could then be conducted in previously untreated patients, administering chemotherapy alone or with the best inhibitors of P-gp. These studies should be confined to diseases in which P-gp has been shown to be of prognostic importance, and therefore possibly a primary mechanism of acquired drug resistance. Despite the minimal activity demonstrated in this trial, breast cancer, a common tumor which displays acquired drug resistance, remains a good model to explore the clinical significance of P-gp-mediated MDR. As more effective modulators are identified, they should be tested in randomized trials of previously untreated patients with this disease.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Drs. T. Tsuruo, J. Scheper, and I. Roninson for the generous provision of mon-

oclonal antibodies directed against P-glycoprotein, Lynn Lamb, R.N. for assistance in data collection, and Sandra Trent for assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

References

1. Bartlett NL, Lum BL, Fisher GA, Brophy NA, Ehsan MN, Halsey J, Sikic BI (1994) Phase I trial of doxorubicin with cyclosporine as a modulator of multidrug resistance. *J Clin Oncol* 12:835
2. Belpomme D, Pujade-Lauraine E, Gauthier S, Krakowski I, Frenay M, Facchini T, Goudier MJ, Rouillet B, Bastion Y, Platini C, Riou R for the ARTAC breast carcinoma study group (1995) Continuous low dose racemic verapamil (Vp) significantly increases response rate and survival in patients (pts) treated with VDS and 5-FU (VF) for anthracycline resistant metastatic breast carcinoma (MBC) (abstract). *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* 36:219
3. Berg SL, Tolcher A, O'Shaghnessy JA, Denicoff AM, Noone M, Ognibene FP, Cowan KH, Balis FM (1995) Effect of r-verapamil on the pharmacokinetics of paclitaxel in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 13:2039
4. Christen RD, McClay EF, Plaxe SC, Yen SSC, Kim S, Kirmani S, Wilgus LL, Heath DD, Shalinsky DR, Freddo JL, Braly PS, O'Quigley J, Howell SB (1993) Phase I/pharmacokinetic study of high-dose progesterone and doxorubicin. *J Clin Oncol* 11:2417
5. Dalton WS, Grogan TM, Meltzer PS, Scheper RJ, Durie BGM, Taylor CW, Miller TP, Salmon SE (1989) Drug-resistance in multiple myeloma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: detection of P-glycoprotein and potential circumvention by addition of verapamil to chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 7:415
6. Epstein J, Xiao H, Oba BK (1989) P-glycoprotein expression in plasma-cell myeloma is associated with resistance to VAD. *Blood* 74:913
7. Flynn S, Murren JR, Kirby W, Honig J, Kam L, Kinder B (1992) Multidrug resistance in adrenocortical carcinoma. *Surgery* 112:981
8. Ford J, Hait W (1990) Pharmacology of drugs that alter multidrug resistance in cancer. *Pharmacol Rev* 42:155
9. Ford JM, Prozialeck WC, Hait WN (1989) Structural features determining activity of phenothiazines and related drugs for inhibition of cell growth and reversal of multidrug resistance. *Mol Pharmacol* 35:105
10. Fraschini G, Yap H, Hortabagyi GN, Buzdar A, Blumenschein G (1985) Five-day continuous-infusion vinblastine in the treatment of breast cancer. *Cancer* 56:225
11. Goldstein LJ, Galski H, Fojo A, Willingham M, Lai S, Gazdar A, Pirker R, Green A, Crist W, Brodeur GM, Lieber M, Cossman J, Gottesman MM, Pastan I (1989) Expression of a multidrug resistance gene in human cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 81:116
12. Grogan T, Dalton W, Rybyski J, Spier C, Meltzer P, Richter L, Gleason M, Pindur J, Cline A, Scheper R, Tsuruo T, Salmon S (1990) Optimization of immunocytochemical P-glycoprotein assessment in multi-drug resistant plasma cell myeloma using three antibodies. *Lab Invest* 63:815
13. Hait WN, Morris S, Lazo JS, Figlin RJ, Durivage HJ, White K, Schwartz PE (1989) Phase I trial of combined therapy with bleomycin and the calmodulin antagonist, trifluoperazine. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 23:358
14. Hait WN, Byrne TN, Piepmeier J, Durivage HJ, Choudhury S, Davis CA, Gates JA (1990) The effect of calmodulin inhibitors with bleomycin on the treatment of patients with high grade gliomas. *Cancer Res* 50:6636
15. Henderson I (1991) Chemotherapy for metastatic disease. In: Harris JR, Hellman S, Henderson IC, Kinne DW (ed) *Breast*

- diseases, 2nd edn. J B Lippincot Company, Philadelphia, p 604
16. Holtzmayer TA, Hilsenbeck S, Van Hoff DD, Roninson I (1992) Clinical correlates of MDR1 (P-glycoprotein) gene expression in ovarian and small cell lung carcinomas. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 84: 1486
 17. Hsu SM, Raine L, Fanger H (1981) Use of avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC) in immunoperoxidase techniques: a comparison between ABC and unlabeled antibody (PAP) procedures. *J Histochem Cytochem* 29: 577
 18. Ingle JN, Ahmann DL, Gerstner JG, Green SJ, O'Connell MJ, Kvols LK (1984) Evaluation of vinblastine administered by 5-day continuous infusion in women with advanced breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Rep* 68: 803
 19. Jones RD, Kerr DJ, Harnett AN, Rankin EM, Ray S, Kaye SB (1990) A pilot study of quinidine and epirubicin in the treatment of advanced breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* 62: 133
 20. Koh EH, Chung HC, Lee KB, Lim HY, Kim JH, Roh JK, Min JS, Lee KS, Kim BS (1992) The value of immunohistochemical detection of P-glycoprotein in breast cancer before and after induction chemotherapy. *Yonsei Med J* 33: 137
 21. Lai G-M, Chen Y-N, Mickley LA, Fojo AT, Bates SE (1991) P-glycoprotein expression and schedule dependence of adriamycin cytotoxicity in human colon carcinoma cell lines. *Int J Cancer* 49: 696
 22. Lum BL, Fisher GA, Brophy NA, Yahanda AM, Adler KM, Kaubisch S, Halsey J, Sikic BI (1993) Clinical trials of modulation of multidrug resistance. *Cancer* 72: 3502
 23. Marie J-P, Zittoun R, Sikic BI (1991) Multidrug resistance (mdr 1) gene expression in adult acute leukemias: correlations with treatment outcome and in vitro sensitivity. *Blood* 78: 586
 24. Miller RL, Bukowski RM, Budd GT, Purvis J, Weick JK, Shepard K, Midha KK, Ganapathi R (1988) Clinical modulation of doxorubicin resistance by the calmodulin-inhibitor, trifluoperazine: a phase I/II trial. *J Clin Oncol* 6: 880
 25. Miller TP, Grogan TM, Dalton WS, Spier CM, Scheper RJ, Salmon SE (1991) P-glycoprotein expression in malignant lymphoma and reversal of clinical drug resistance with chemotherapy plus high-dose verapamil. *J Clin Oncol* 9: 17
 26. Murren JR, Hait WN (1992) Why haven't we cured multidrug resistant tumors? *Oncol Res* 4: 1
 27. Musto P, Melillo L, Lombardi G, Matera R, Di Giorgio G, Carotenuto M (1991) High risk of early resistant relapse for leukaemic patients with presence of multidrug resistance associated P-glycoprotein positive cells in complete remission. *Br J Haematol* 77: 50
 28. Oken MM, Davis TE, Creech RH, McFadden ET, Tormey DC, Carbone PP, Horton J (1982) Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. *Am J Clin Oncol* 5: 649
 29. Philip PA, Joel S, Monkman SC, Dolega-Ossowski E, Tonkin K, Carmichael J, Idle JR, Harris AL (1992) A phase I study on the reversal of multidrug resistance (MDR) in vivo: nifedipine plus etoposide. *Br J Cancer* 65: 267
 30. Pirker R, Wallner J, Geissler K, Linkesch W, Haas OA, Bettelheim P, Hopfner M, Scherrer R, Valent P, Havelec L, Ludwig H, Lechner K (1991) MDR1 gene expression and treatment outcome in acute myeloid leukemia. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 83: 708
 31. Ro J, Sahin A, Ro JY, Fritsche H, Hortobagyi G, Blick M (1990) Immunohistochemical analysis of P-glycoprotein expression correlated with chemotherapy resistance in locally advanced breast cancer. *Hum Pathol* 21: 787
 32. Sanfillipo O, Ronchi E, DeMarco C, DiFonzo G, Silvestrini R (1991) Expression of p-glycoprotein in breast cancer tissue and in vitro resistance to doxorubicin and vincristine. *Eur J Cancer* 27: 155
 33. Scheper RJ, Bulte JWM, Brakkee JGP, Quak JJ, Schoot E van der, Balm AJM, Meijer CJLM, Broxterman HJ, Kuiper CM, Lankelma J, et al. (1988) Monoclonal antibody Jsb-1 detects a highly conserved epitope on the p-glycoprotein associated with multi-drug-resistance. *Int J Cancer* 42: 389
 34. Schneider J, Bak M, Efferth TH, Kaufmann M, Mattern J, Volm M (1989) P-glycoprotein expression in treated and untreated human breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* 60: 815
 35. Solary E, Caillot D, Chauffert B, Casasnovas R, Dumas M, Maynadie M, Guy H (1992) Feasibility of using quinine, a potential multidrug resistance-reversing agent, in combination with mitoxantrone and cytarabine for the treatment of acute leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 10: 1730
 36. Sonneveld P, Durie BGM, Lonkhorst HM, Marie J, Solbu G, Suci S, Zittoun R, Lowenberg B, Nooter K (1992) Modulation of multidrug-resistant myeloma by cyclosporin. *Lancet* 340: 255
 37. Sridhar KS, Krishan A, Samy TSA, Sauerteig A, Wellham LL, McPhee G, Duncan RC, Anac SY, Ardalan B, Benedetto PW (1993) Prochlorperazine as a doxorubicin-efflux blocker: phase I clinical and pharmacokinetic studies. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 31: 423
 38. Sugawara I, Kataoka I, Morishita Y, Hamada H, Tsuruo T, Itoyama S, Mori S (1988) Tissue distribution of p-glycoprotein encoded by a multi-drug resistant gene as revealed by a monoclonal antibody, MRK 16. *Cancer Res* 48: 1926
 39. Tannock I, Erlichman C, Perrault D, Quirt I, King M (1982) Failure of 5-day vinblastine infusion in the treatment of patients with advanced refractory breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Rep* 66: 1783
 40. Trump DL, Smith DC, Ellis PG, Rogers MP, Schold SC, Winer EP, Panella TJ, Jordan VC, Fine RL (1992) High-dose oral tamoxifen, a potential multidrug-resistance-reversal agent: phase I trial in combination with vinblastine. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 84: 1811
 41. Tsuruo T, Iida H, Nojiri M, Tsukagoshi S, Sakurai Y (1982) Circumvention of vincristine and adriamycin resistance *in vitro* and *in vivo* by calcium influx blockers. *Cancer Res* 42: 4730
 42. Verrelle P, Meissonnier F, Fonck Y, Feillel V, Dionet C, Kwiatkowski F, Plagne R, Chassagne J (1991) Clinical relevance of immunohistochemical detection of multidrug resistance p-glycoprotein in breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 83: 111
 43. Wilson WH, Bates SE, Fojo A, Bryant G, Zhan Z, Regis J, Wittes RE, Jaffe ES, Steinberg SM, Herdt J, Chabner BA (1995) Controlled trial of dexverapamil, a modulator of multidrug resistance, in lymphomas refractory to EPOCH chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 13: 1995
 44. Wilson WH, Bryant G, Bates S, Fojo A, Wittes RE, Steinberg SM, Kohler DR, Jaffe ES, Herot J, Cheson BD, Chabner BA (1993) EPOCH chemotherapy: toxicity and efficacy in relapsed and refractory non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 11: 1573
 45. Wishart G, Bissett D, Jodrell P, Harnett A, Habeshaw T, Kerr D, Macham M, Soukop M, Leonard R, Kneip J, Kaye S (1994) Quinidine as a resistance modulator of epirubicin in advanced breast cancer: mature results of a placebo-controlled randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 12: 1771
 46. Yahanda AM, Adler KM, Fisher GA, Brophy NA, Halsey J, Hardy RI, Gosland MP, Lum BL, Sikic BI (1992) Phase I trial of etoposide with cyclosporine as a modulator of multidrug resistance. *J Clin Oncol* 10: 1624